

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/721,871	11/24/2000	Kenneth B. Higgins	5113	4059
7590 11/10/2003			EXAMINER	
Terry T. Moyer			JUSKA, CHERYL ANN	
P.O. Box 1927 Spartanburg, S	C 29304		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
- Parising 41-8,			1771	

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application 1	T				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Advisory Action	09/721,871	HIGGINS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Cheryl Juska	1771				
final re condition	eThe MAILING DATE of this communication app  EPLY FILED 16 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE ore, further action by the applicant is required to a jection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONE	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE. cation. A proper reply to a	ıed			
_	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) 🛚	The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later tha ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F706.07(f).	the final rejection. sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the in SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
37 CFR 1 (b) above, earned pa	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date if lied is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension .17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final reje	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set for ction, even if timely filed, may reduce	Inder			
1 _	Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>10-16-03</u> . Appellan 7 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	( 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal d	e period set forth in f the appeal.				
	he proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) L	they raise new issues that would require further	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(c) E	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);					
	they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or			the			
(d) [	NOTE:		nally rejected claims.				
3.□ A <sub>I</sub>	oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4.∟ N∈ Ca	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ Th ap	5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6.∐ Th	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠ Fo	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
Th	e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	system to provided belov	ч от аррепиец.				
	Claim(s) allowed:						
CI	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-51, 57-85, 123-126, 128-130, 132, 133, 136-142, and 145-149.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9.☐ Not	e the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s	s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	of the Examiner.				
10. Otl	0. ☐ Other:						
5. Palent and Tra	ademark Office	F	Cheryl Juska Primary Examiner ort Unit: 1771				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that DeSimone requires a sandwich structure comprising two outer layers and a rebond foam core layer is unpersuasive. Specifically, applicant argues that this requirement of the DeSimone reference would lead away from the presently claimed invention. This argument is unpersuasive because the DeSimone invention is not so limited. The sandwich structure is one preferred embodiment. The reference also teaches the rebond foam layer without the two outer layers. Note, in particular, working Examples 17-19. Thus, applicant's arguments are unpersuasive an the rejections stand.

CHERYLA JUSKA